## Follow Ups: Recommendations Not Implemented (incl. partially and/or no response) By the Agreed Date, as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2018

| Audit  | Observation   | Recommendation   | Priority | Agreed Action   | Agreed<br>Date | Responsible<br>Officer   | Comments  |
|--|---|--|----------|---|----------------|--|---|
| Risk<br>Management<br>2017/18                  | 2.  |  |          |   |                | Audit & Risk<br>Manager  | Deferred - Awaiting approval of revised strategy and training thereon.  |
| Garden Waste<br>Scheme<br>2018/19              | 3.  |  |          |   |                |  | Originally deferred until<br>January 2019 due to staff<br>absence but now<br>implemented as per<br>follow up in January<br>2019.  |
| Staff<br>Allowances<br>and Expenses<br>2018/19 | 4. There is no centralised record which confirms the posts that attract essential user's allowance. | A review is undertaken to identify those posts where the duties are of such a nature that it is essential for them to have a vehicle at their disposal, ensuring that any exceptions to the posts identified have appropriate approval | Low.     | Consideration will be given to placing a flag on iTrent identifying if the post qualifies for essential car user.  Ensure that the JD/PS reflect the fact that the post is essential car user | Dec 19         | Improvement and Organisational Development Manager/Systems Analyst | Partially implemented, a flag has been placed on iTrent identifying the people who are receiving essential car user. Further work required to ensure JD's/PS reflect the posts essential user status.  The subsequent update, confirmed that the recommendation had been implemented. |
| Key ICT<br>Controls                            | 8. Controls need to be tightened in   | ICS should ensure that controls are  | Low      | 8. Agreed as  | Apr 18         | ICT Service  | Deferred - Awaiting changes required to the   |

Appendix D

| 2016/17                        | respect of the guest Wi-Fi e.g. at present the connectivity is not time limiting and the generic password has not been changed for over a  | strengthened around access to the guest Wi-Fi.   |        | recommendation.  | Revised to<br>Dec 18<br>Revised to<br>April 19 | Delivery Manager | existing network and wi-<br>fi setup, this is due by<br>April 2019.  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--------|--|--|------------------|--|
| Health & Safety<br>2017/18     | year. 5.   |  |        |  |  |                  | Deferred, risk<br>assessment training to<br>take place in<br>February/March 2019.  |
| ICT Key<br>Controls<br>2017/18 | 13. Numerous Everyone or BUILTIN\Users (therefore domain users) accessible file shares were found on the sample servers reviewed. Of specific concern was one of the file shares | We recommend that management reviews the access control lists on all server files shares and looks to restrict access to only authorised officers. | Medium | The backup copy of<br>the database was<br>placed on the<br>share to send to<br>the QL software<br>supplier – this is an<br>exception | Technical<br>Services<br>Manager               | October 2018     | No response at time of follow up, but subsequent update received confirming that amendments made to Database, in accordance with recommendation. |
|                                | contained a backup<br>copy of the QLLIVE<br>database, which<br>contained both  |  |        | Permission levels for the following shares to be   |  |                  |  |

Appendix D

|                                |   |  |     |   |                                    |               | Appelluix D   |
|--------------------------------|---|--|-----|---|------------------------------------|---------------|---|
|                                | medical records and personal contact information.   |  |     | reviewed – QLLive,<br>SQLsrvr (4-8),<br>PNCDBSERVER,<br>LAGANDB and<br>LAGANBI  |                                    |               |   |
| ICT Key<br>Controls<br>2017/18 | 11. Not all database servers in the sample reviewed were subject to pro-active monitoring using Idera, this included the live databases for the Lifeline (PNC) application which had 'very high' accessibility requirements and classified as critical in the business continuity plan. | We recommend that management reviews the maintenance and monitoring procedures for all critical production database servers. | Low | Due to licence costs, only larger systems/key databases are monitored.  Cost of implementing additional licences will be reviewed.  Due to the high availability requirement, PNC application has a mirrored database at the Council's Disaster recovery site | ICT Service<br>Delivery<br>Manager | November 2018 | No response at time of follow up, but subsequent update received confirming that additional licence has been purchased for PNC  |
| ICT Key<br>Controls<br>2017/18 | 3. There was no formal schedule for reviewing the access control permissions set on the department directories on the file  | We recommend that management implements a schedule and assigns responsibility for reviewing                                  | Low | Access control permissions will be reviewed with Services as part of the ICT SLA meetings every   | ICT Service<br>Delivery<br>Manager | December 2018 | No response at time of follow up, but subsequent update received confirming that review undertaken as part of ICT SLA meetings. |

Appendix D

|                                |   |  |     |  |                                    |               | Appendix D   |
|--------------------------------|---|--|-----|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--|
|                                | server, and responsibility for performing such reviews did not appear to have been assigned to the departments or ICT.  | permissions on department directories.   |     | quarterly.  This is also linked to the role-based permission approach we are developing. |                                    |               |  |
| ICT Key<br>Controls<br>2017/18 | 4. Access to a number of directories on department area of the file server could not be justified from a sample reviewed, including the Call Centre, Creditor Payments, Env Health, Finance Admin, Housing, HR_ESC_LCC and Income directories | We recommend that management co-<br>ordinates a review of all directories on the department area of the file server, and ensures unauthorised access is revoked. | Low | See response to recommendation 3 above.  | ICT Service<br>Delivery<br>Manager | December 2018 | No response at time of follow up, but subsequent update received confirming permissions to shared drives are reviewed on an on-going basis as part of ICT SLA meetings. (see rec. 3 above) |